

ACTIVITY 2.6

▶ PLAN

Materials: research sources/ Internet, two preselected websites for evaluation, highlighters

Suggested pacing: 1.5 50-minute class periods

▶ TEACH

1 Now that students have done some preliminary research, they need to understand how to evaluate the sources they are consulting.

2 First, read the introductory paragraph, and then guide students to begin to complete the graphic organizer by predicting or looking up the definition of each source evaluation criterion. Then have students take notes to define each criterion presented.

ACTIVITY 2.6

Evaluating Sources: How Credible Are They?

LEARNING STRATEGIES:
Predicting, Note-taking,
Graphic Organizer

My Notes

ACADEMIC VOCABULARY
Credibility comes from the word *credible*, which means "believable or trustworthy."

Learning Targets

- Evaluate research sources for authority, accuracy, credibility, timeliness, and purpose/audience.
- Distinguish between primary and secondary sources.
- Evaluate a website's content and identity to determine appropriate Internet sources for research.

Research Sources

After choosing a topic and writing research questions, the next step is to find sources of information. Sources might be books, magazines, documentary films, or online information. Not all sources are equal, however. Some are better than others. Learning how to tell the difference is a skill you need both for your academic success and your life.

Evaluating Sources

1. You can evaluate both print and online resources using five separate criteria, including authority, accuracy, **credibility**, timeliness, and purpose/audience. Use a dictionary or work with your classmates and teacher to complete each definition.

Source Criteria	Definition
1. Authority	Who is the author? What organization is behind this information? What are the qualifications of the author or organization to write about this topic?
2. Accuracy	Try to determine if the content of the source is fact, opinion, or propaganda. If you think the source is offering facts, are the sources for those facts clearly indicated?
3. Credibility	Is the information trustworthy? Does it show any biases for or against the topic?
4. Timeliness	How timely is the source? Is the source years out of date? Some information becomes dated when new research is available, but other older sources of information can be quite sound 50 or 100 years later.
5. Purpose/Audience	What is the purpose of the information? To whom is it directed?

COLLEGE AND CAREER READINESS STANDARDS

Focus Standards:

W.7.2: Write informative/explanatory texts to examine a topic and convey ideas, concepts, and information through the selection, organization, and analysis of relevant content.

W.7.8: Gather relevant information from multiple print and digital sources, using

search terms effectively; assess the credibility and accuracy of each source; and quote or paraphrase the data and conclusions of others while avoiding plagiarism and following a standard format for citation.

Additional Standards Addressed:

RI.7.1; RI.7.6; W.7.7; SL.7.2

8 SECOND READ: During the second reading, students will be returning to the text to answer the text-dependent comprehension questions. You may choose to have students reread and work on the questions in a variety of ways:

- independently
- in pairs
- in small groups
- together as a class

9 Have students answer the text-dependent questions. If they have difficulty, scaffold the questions by rephrasing them or breaking them down into smaller parts. See the Scaffolding the Text-Dependent Questions boxes for suggestions.

Evaluating Sources: How Credible Are They?

My Notes

Informational Text

Statement of Commissioner Michael J. Copps

from the Federal Communication Commission website

1 Re: *Children’s Television Obligations of Digital Television Broadcasters* (MM Docket No. 00-167)

2 Kids today live in a super-saturated media environment. They are interacting with more media more often than at any other time in our nation’s history. Television, radio, cable and now the Internet are perhaps the most powerful forces at work in the world today. When used for good, they enlighten minds, convey powerful ideas, educate and lay the foundation for human development. But when they are used to misinform and mislead they can—and sometimes do—inflict lasting harm.

3 We have reason to be concerned. The Kaiser Family Foundation tells us that children are spending over six and a half hours per day exposed to media, almost four hours of that time with television. The average child sees tens of thousands of commercials a year. More disturbing still are studies demonstrating that children eight and younger don’t—because they can’t—distinguish between advertisements and programming. They accept commercials as true because they don’t have the skills and cognitive resources to distinguish between fact and fiction.

4 Congress recognized these tough challenges for parents and the high stakes for children long ago. ... Indeed, in the Children’s Television Act, Congress specifically directed the Commission to protect children against excessive advertisements on television. ...

5 Two years ago, the Commission began the task of updating our policies adopted under the Children’s Television Act. The goal was simple: ensuring that our rules continue to serve the interests of children and parents as the country transitions from analog to digital television. ... We’ve had some fits and starts getting this digital children’s agenda on the road. But I am pleased today to support this decision. It resolves at long last important outstanding issues regarding the obligation of television broadcasters to protect and serve the children in their audience.

Second Read

- Reread the informational text to answer these text-dependent questions.
- Write any additional questions you have about the text in your Reader/Writer Notebook.

1. Key Ideas and Details: Does the commissioner have a positive, negative, or mixed opinion of media’s effect on children? How do you know?

The commissioner has a mixed opinion of the media. In paragraph 1, he says media can “enlighten minds, convey powerful ideas, educate and lay the foundation for human development” but that it can also “inflict lasting harm” if used inappropriately. RI.7.1

SCAFFOLDING THE TEXT-DEPENDENT QUESTIONS

1. Key Ideas and Details (RI.7.1) Does the commissioner have a positive, negative, or mixed opinion of media’s effect on children? How do you know? In paragraph 2, does the commissioner describe any benefits the media provides? If so, what are they? In the same paragraph, does he describe any negative effects caused by the media? If so, what are they?

2. Key Ideas and Details (RI.7.1) What evidence does the commissioner provide to support his statement that “We have reason to be concerned”? What concerns does the commissioner raise? From what source does the commissioner get his information?

11 Ask students to identify the differences between a primary source and a secondary source and to give examples of each. To transition to the topic of evaluating online sources, help students see that online sources — just like sources found in other formats — can be either primary or secondary. Ask students to name some examples of primary and secondary sources found on the Internet (primary: interviews with newsmakers, government archives that have been digitized, etc.; secondary: news articles, websites devoted to analyzing historical events, etc.).

12 To evaluate online resources, begin by reviewing the information on the various Internet domain suffixes. Guide students to understand which websites, based on the domain suffixes, would be most likely to provide valid information. Have them apply this information as a further criterion for evaluating sources.

Evaluating Sources: How Credible Are They?

ACADEMIC VOCABULARY

A **primary source** is an original account or record created at the time of an event by someone who witnessed or was involved in it. Autobiographies, letters, and government records are types of primary sources.

Secondary sources analyze, interpret, or critique primary sources. Textbooks, books about historical events, and works of criticism, such as movie and book reviews, are secondary sources.

My Notes

Primary and Secondary Sources

When choosing credible research sources, you will find **primary** and **secondary sources**. Primary sources are original documents; they are often used in historical research. For example, if you are researching the era of the Civil War, you might use the primary resource of Lincoln’s Gettysburg Address. You might find that speech in a secondary source written about the Civil War or on the Internet.

6. Look at the texts you have read so far in the unit. Are they primary or secondary sources? How do you know?

Both are secondary sources since both are based on polls conducted by their respective companies (Harris Interactive poll and Center for the American Dream poll). The polls would be primary sources.

Evaluating Online Resources

Anyone can publish writing on the Internet. This openness is both one of the strengths and one of the weaknesses of the Internet. In order to be an effective researcher, you must be aware of the differences in quality that exist among websites.

A good place to start evaluating a website’s authority is by looking at its domain suffix. The domain name is the Web address, or Internet identity. The domain suffix, the three letters that follow the dot, is the category in which that website falls. The most commonly used domain suffixes are described below.

Domain Suffix	Definition/Description
.com	Stands for “commercial.” Usually, websites with this suffix intend to make some sort of profit from their Internet services. Typically these are the websites that sell goods or services.
.org	Stands for “organization.” Primarily used by not-for-profit groups such as charities and professional organizations.
.net	Stands for “network.” Used by Internet service providers or web-hosting companies.
.edu	Stands for “education.” Used by colleges, universities, educational organizations, or other institutions.
.gov	Stands for “government.” Used by federal, state, and local government sites.

ACTIVITY 2.6 continued

16 Finally, have students use search terms to do online research for the research topic. They should choose one or two sites that look useful and then evaluate those sites using the graphic organizer provided.

ACTIVITY 2.6 continued

Evaluating Sources: How Credible Are They?

Criteria	Question	Yes/No	Site 1	Site 2
Authority	1. Is it clear who is sponsoring the creation and maintenance of the page?	Yes No	Notes:	Notes:
	2. Is there information available describing the purpose of the sponsoring organization?	Yes No		
	3. Is there a way to verify the authority of the page's sponsor? For instance, is a phone number or address available to contact for more information?	Yes No		
	4. Is it clear who developed and wrote the material? Are his or her qualifications for writing on this topic clearly stated? Is there contact information for the author of the material?	Yes No		
Accuracy	1. Are the sources for factual information given so they can be verified?	Yes No		
	3. If information is presented in graphs or charts, is it labeled clearly?	Yes No		
	4. Does the information appear to have errors?	Yes No		
Credibility	1. Is the page and the information from a reliable source?	Yes No		
	2. Is it free of advertising?	Yes No		
	3. If there is advertising on the page, is it clearly separated from the informational content?	Yes No		
	4. Are there any signs of bias?	Yes No		
Timeliness	1. Do dates on the page indicate when the page was written or last revised?	Yes No		
	2. Are there any other indications that the material is updated frequently to ensure timely information?	Yes No		
	3. If the information is published in print in different editions, is it clear what edition the page is from?	Yes No		
Purpose/ Audience	1. Does the site indicate who the intended audience is?	Yes No		
	2. Is there any evidence of why the information is provided?	Yes No		

